

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/613,104	CHEN ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
WANDA Z. RUSSELL	2416	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) WANDA Z. RUSSELL. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) John Curtin. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 February 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The attorney agreed to the examiner's amendment for single means issue to expedite prosecution of the application.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Seema S. Rao/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)